Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill, except that the Senate amendment repeals only the grandfather rule applicable to that portion of the business of Mutual of America which is attributable to pension business.

Effective date.—Same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill.

G. Foreign Provisions

1. Inclusion of income from notional principal contracts and stock lending transactions under subpart F (sec. 1171 of the House bill and sec. 861 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under the subpart F rules, the U.S. 10-percent shareholders of a controlled foreign corporation ("CFC") are subject to U.S. tax currently on certain income earned by the CFC, whether or not such income is distributed to the shareholders. The income subject to current inclusion under the subpart F rules includes, among other things, "foreign personal holding company income."

Foreign personal holding company income generally consists of the following: dividends, interest, royalties, rents and annuities; net gains from sales or exchanges of (1) property that gives rise to the foregoing types of income, (2) property that does not give rise to income, and (3) interests in trusts, partnerships, and REMICs; net gains from commodities transactions; net gains from foreign currency transactions; and income that is equivalent to interest. Income from notional principal contracts referenced to commodities, foreign currency, interest rates, or indices thereon is treated as foreign personal holding company income; income from equity swaps or other types of notional principal contracts is not treated as foreign personal holding company income. Income derived from transfers of debt securities (but not equity securities) pursuant to the rules governing securities lending transactions (sec. 1058) is treated as foreign personal holding company income.

Income earned by a CFC that is a regular dealer in the property sold or exchanged generally is excluded from the definition of foreign personal holding company income. However, no exception is available for a CFC that is a regular dealer in financial instruments referenced to commodities.

A U.S. shareholder of a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") is subject to U.S. tax and an interest charge with respect to certain distributions from the PFIC and gains on dispositions of the stock of the PFIC, unless the shareholder elects to include in income currently for U.S. tax purposes its share of the earnings of the PFIC. A foreign corporation is a PFIC if it satisfies either a passive income test or a passive assets test. For this purpose, passive income is defined by reference to foreign personal holding company income.

House Bill

The House bill treats net income from all types of notional principal contracts as a new category of foreign personal holding company income. However, income, gain, deduction or loss from a notional principal contract entered into to hedge an item of income in another category of foreign personal holding company income is included in that other category.

The House bill treats payments in lieu of dividends derived from equity securities lending transactions pursuant to section 1058 as another new category of foreign personal holding company income.

The House bill provides an exception from foreign personal holding company income for certain income, gain, deduction, or loss from transactions (including hedging transactions) entered into in the ordinary course of a CFC's business as a regular dealer in property, forward contracts, options, notional principal contracts, or similar financial instruments (including instruments referenced to commodities).

These modifications to the definition of foreign personal holding company income apply for purposes of determining a foreign corporation's status as a PFIC.

Effective date.—The provision applies to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment. The conferees wish to clarify the treatment of notional principal contracts under the provision. Although net income from notional principal contracts is added as a new category of foreign personal holding company income, amounts with respect to a notional principal contract entered into to hedge an item described in another category of foreign personal holding company income are taken into account under the rules of such other category. In this regard, gains and losses from transactions in inventory property are covered by an exclusion from the category of personal holding company income for net gains from property transactions; income from a notional principal contract entered into to hedge inventory property is taken into account under such category and thus similarly is excluded from foreign personal holding company income.

2. Restrict like-kind exchange rules for certain personal property (sec. 1172 of the House bill and sec. 862 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

An exchange of property, like a sale, generally is a taxable event. However, no gain or loss is recognized if property held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment is exchanged for property of a "like-kind" which is to be held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment (sec. 1031). In general, any kind of real estate is treated as of a like-kind with other real property as long as the properties are both located either within or both outside the United States. In addition, certain types of property, such as inventory, stocks and bonds, and partnership interests, are not eligible for nonrecognition treatment under section 1031.

If section 1031 applies to an exchange of properties, the basis of the property received in the exchange is equal to the basis of the property transferred, decreased by any money received by the taxpayer, and further adjusted for any gain or loss recognized on the exchange.

House Bill

The House bill provides that personal property predominantly used within the United States and personal property predominantly used outside the United States are not "like-kind" properties. For this purpose, the use of the property surrendered in the exchange will be determined based upon the use during the 24 months immediately prior to the exchange. Similarly, for section 1031 to apply, property received in the exchange must continue in the same use (i.e., foreign or domestic) for the 24 months immediately after the exchange.

The 24-month period is reduced to such lesser time as the taxpayer held the property, unless such shorter holding period is a result of a transaction (or series of transactions) structured to avoid the purposes of the provision. Property described in section 168(g)(4) (generally, property used both within and without the United States that is eligible for accelerated depreciation as if used in the United States) will be treated as property predominantly used in the United States.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for exchanges after June 8, 1997, unless the exchange is pursuant to a binding contract in effect on such date and all times thereafter. A contract will not fail to be considered to be binding solely because (1) it provides for a sale in lieu of an exchange or (2) either the property to be disposed of as relinquished property or the property to be acquired as replacement property (whichever is applicable) was not identified under the contract before June 9, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

3. Impose holding period requirement for claiming foreign tax credits with respect to dividends (sec. 1173 of the House bill and sec. 863 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

A U.S. person that receives a dividend from a foreign corporation generally is entitled to a credit for foreign income taxes paid on the dividend, regardless of the shareholder's holding period for the stock. If a regulated investment company ("RIC") elects, U.S. persons that receive dividends from the RIC generally are entitled to an indirect credit for foreign taxes paid by the RIC, regardless of the shareholder's holding period for the RIC stock. A U.S. corporation that receives a dividend from a foreign corporation in which it has a 10-percent or greater voting interest generally is entitled to an indirect credit for foreign taxes paid by the foreign corporation, also regardless of the shareholder's holding period.

House Bill

The House bill disallows the foreign tax credits normally available with respect to a dividend from a corporation or RIC if the shareholder has not held the stock for 16 days in the case of common stock and 46 days in the case of preferred stock. The disallowance applies both to foreign tax credits for foreign withholding taxes that are paid on the dividend where the dividend-paying stock is held for less than these holding periods and to indirect foreign tax credits for taxes paid by a lower-tier foreign corporation or a RIC where any of the required stock in the chain of ownership is held for less than these holding periods. Periods during which a taxpayer is protected from risk of loss generally are not counted toward the holding period requirement. In the case of a bona fide contract to sell stock, a special rule applies for purposes of indirect foreign tax credits. The House bill also provides an exception for foreign active securities dealers.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for dividends paid or accrued more than 30 days after the date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill with one modification. Under the Senate amendment, the special rule for contracts to sell stock does not apply to indirect foreign tax credits of a RIC shareholder.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the Senate amendment with one modification. The conference agreement grants regulatory authority to the Secretary of the Treasury to treat certain foreign taxes as not subject to the provision. The conferees anticipate that this authority may be used to address internal withholding taxes imposed by a foreign country on persons that do business in the foreign country.

4. Penalties for failure to file disclosure of exemption for income from the international operation of ships or aircraft by foreign persons (sec. 1174 of the House bill)

Present Law

The United States generally imposes a 4-percent tax on the U.S.-source gross transportation income of foreign persons that is not effectively connected with the foreign person's conduct of a U.S. trade or business (sec. 887). Foreign persons generally are subject to U.S. tax at regular graduated rates on net income, including transportation income, that is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business (secs. 871(b) and 882).

Transportation income is any income derived from, or in connection with, the use (or hiring or leasing for use) of a vessel or aircraft (or a container used in connection therewith) or the performance of services directly related to such use (sec. 863(c)(3)). Income attributable to transportation that begins and ends in the United States is treated as derived from sources in the United States (sec. 863(c)(1)). In the case of transportation that either begins or ends in the United States, generally 50 percent of such income is treated as U.S. source and 50 percent is treated as foreign source (sec. 863(c)(2)). U.S.-source transportation income is treated as effectively connected with a foreign person's conduct of U.S. trade or business only if the foreign person has a fixed place of business in the United States that is involved in the earning of such income and substantially all of such income of the foreign person is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation (sec. 887(b)(4)).

An exemption from U.S. tax is provided for income derived by a nonresident alien individual or foreign corporation from the international operation of a ship or aircraft, provided that the foreign country in which such individual is resident or such corporation is organized grants an equivalent exemption to individual residents of the United States or corporations organized in the United States (secs. 872(b) (1) and (2) and 883(a) (1) and (2)).

Pursuant to guidance published by the Internal Revenue Service, a nonresident alien individual or foreign corporation that is entitled to an exemption from U.S. tax for its income from the international operation of ships or aircraft must file a U.S. income tax return and must attach to such return a statement claiming the exemption (Rev. Proc. 91–12, 1991–1 C.B. 473). If the foreign person is claiming an exemption based on an applicable income tax treaty, the foreign person must disclose that fact as required by the Secretary of the Treasury (sec. 6114). The penalty for failure to make disclosure of a treaty-based position as required under section 6114 is $1,000 for an individual and $10,000 for a corporation (sec. 6712).

House Bill

Under the House bill, a foreign person that claims exemption from U.S. tax for income from the international operation of ships or aircraft, but does not satisfy the filing requirements for claiming such exemption, is subject to the penalty of the denial of such exemption and any deductions or credits otherwise allowable in determining the U.S. tax liability with respect to such income. If a foreign person that has a fixed placed of business in the United States fails to satisfy the filing requirements for claiming an exemption from U.S. tax for its income from the international operation of ships or aircraft, such person is subject to the additional penalty that foreign source income from the international operation of ships or aircraft would be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business, but only to the extent that such income is attributable to such fixed place of business in the United

States. Income so treated as effectively connected with a U.S. business is subject to U.S. tax at graduated rates (and is subject to the disallowance of deductions and credits described above). These penalties do not apply in the case of a failure to disclose that is due to reasonable cause. The provision would not apply to the extent the application would be contrary to any treaty obligation of the United States.

The House bill also provides for the provision of information by the U.S. Customs Service to the Secretary of the Treasury regarding foreign-flag ships engaged in shipping to or from the United States.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

No provision.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement does not include the provision in the House bill.

5. Limitation on treaty benefits for payments to hybrid entities (sec. 1175 of the House bill and sec. 742 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Nonresident alien individuals and foreign corporations (collectively, foreign persons) that are engaged in business in the United States are subject to U.S. tax on the income from such business in the same manner as a U.S. person. In addition, the United States imposes tax on certain types of U.S.-source income, including interest, dividends and royalties, of foreign persons not engaged in business in the United States. Such tax is imposed on a gross basis and is collected through withholding. The statutory rate of this withholding tax is 30 percent. However, most U.S. income tax treaties provide for a reduction in rate, or elimination, of this withholding tax. Treaties generally provide for different applicable withholding tax rates for different types of income. Moreover, the applicable withholding tax rates differ among treaties. The specific withholding tax rates pursuant to a treaty are the result of negotiations between the United States and the treaty partner.

The application of the withholding tax is more complicated in the case of income derived through an entity, such as a limited liability company, that is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes but may be treated as a corporation for purposes of the tax laws of a treaty partner. The Treasury regulations include specific rules that apply in the case of income derived through an entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes. In the case of a payment of an item of U.S. source income to a U.S. partnership, the partnership is required to impose the withholding tax to the extent the item of income is includible in the distributive share of a partner who is a foreign person. Tax-avoidance opportunities may arise in applying the reduced rates of withholding tax provided under a treaty to cases involving income derived through a limited liability company or other hybrid entity (e.g., an entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes but as a corporation for purposes of the treaty partner's tax laws).

Following the passage of the House bill and the Senate amendment, proposed and temporary regulations were issued addressing the application of the reduced rates of withholding tax provided under a treaty in cases involving a hybrid entity. Temp. Treas. reg. sec. 1.894–1T.

House Bill

The House bill limits the availability of a reduced rate of withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty in order to prevent tax avoidance. Under the House bill, a foreign person is entitled to a reduced rate of withholding tax under a treaty with a foreign country on an item of income derived through an entity that is a partnership (or is otherwise treated as transparent) for U.S. tax purposes only if such item is treated for purposes of the taxation laws of such foreign country as an item of income of such person. This rule does not apply if the treaty itself contains a provision addressing the applicability of the treaty in the case of income derived through a partnership. Moreover, the rule does not apply if the foreign country imposes tax on an actual distribution of such item of income from such partnership to such person. In this regard, the foreign country will be considered to impose tax on a distribution even though such tax may be reduced or eliminated by reason of deductions or credits otherwise available to the taxpayer.

The House bill addresses a potential tax-avoidance opportunity for Canadian corporations with U.S. subsidiaries that arises because of the interaction between the U.S. tax law, the Canadian tax law, and the income tax treaty between the United States and Canada. Through the use of a U.S. limited liability company, which is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes but as a corporation for Canadian tax purposes, a payment of interest (which is deductible for U.S. tax purposes) may be converted into a dividend (which is excludable for Canadian tax purposes). Accordingly, interest paid by a U.S. subsidiary through a U.S. limited liability company to a Canadian parent corporation would be deducted by the U.S. subsidiary for U.S. tax purposes and would be excluded by the Canadian parent corporation for Canadian tax purposes; the only tax on such interest would be a U.S. withholding tax, which may be imposed at a reduced rate of 10 percent (rather than the full statutory rate of 30 percent) pursuant to the income tax treaty between the United States and Canada. Under the House bill, withholding tax is imposed at the full statutory rate of 30 percent in such case. The provision would not apply if the U.S.-Canadian income tax treaty is amended to include a provision reaching a similar result. In this regard, the United States and Canada recently negotiated a proposed protocol that would amend the provision in the treaty governing cross-border social security payments and this issue could be addressed in the context of that protocol or an additional protocol. Moreover, the provision would not apply if Canada were to impose tax on the Canadian parent on dividends received from the U.S. limited liability company.

It is believed that the provision generally is consistent with U.S. treaty obligations, including the U.S.-Canada treaty. The United States has recognized authority to implement its tax treaties so as to avoid abuses.

Effective date.—The provision is effective upon date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment provides that the Secretary of the Treasury shall prescribe regulations to determine the extent to which a taxpayer shall be denied benefits under an income tax treaty of the United States with respect to any payment received by, or income attributable to activities of, an entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes (or is otherwise treated as fiscally transparent for such purposes) but is treated as fiscally non-transparent for purposes of the tax laws of the jurisdiction of residence of the taxpayer.

The Senate amendment addresses the potential tax-avoidance opportunity that may arise in applying the reduced rates of withholding tax provided under a treaty to cases involving income derived through a limited liability company or other hybrid entity (e.g., an entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes but as a corporation for purposes of the treaty partner's tax laws). Such a tax-avoidance opportunity may arise, for example, for Canadian corporations with U.S. subsidiaries because of the interaction between the U.S. tax law, the Canadian tax law, and the income tax treaty between the United States and Canada. Through the use of a U.S. limited liability company, which is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes but as a corporation for Canadian tax purposes, a payment of interest (which is deductible for U.S. tax purposes) may be converted into a dividend (which is excludable for Canadian tax purposes). Accordingly, interest paid by a U.S. subsidiary through a U.S. limited liability company to a Canadian parent corporation would be deducted by the U.S. subsidiary for U.S. tax purposes and would be excluded by the Canadian parent corporation for Canadian tax purposes; the only tax on such interest would be a U.S. withholding tax, which may be imposed at a reduced rate of 10 percent (rather than the full statutory rate of 30 percent) pursuant to the income tax treaty between the United States and Canada. It is expected that the regulations will impose withholding tax at the full statutory rate of 30 percent in such case.

Effective date.—The provision is effective upon date of enactment.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the House bill with a modification to provide regulatory authority to address the availability of treaty benefits in situations that involve hybrid entities but that are not covered by the denial of benefits specifically provided by the provision.

Under the conference agreement, a foreign person is not entitled to a reduced rate of withholding tax under a treaty with a foreign country on an item of income derived through an entity that is treated as a partnership (or is otherwise treated as fiscally transparent) for U.S. tax purposes if (i) such item is not treated for purposes of the taxation laws of such foreign country as an item of income of such person, (ii) the foreign country does not impose tax on an actual distribution of such item of income from such entity to such person, and (iii) the treaty itself does not contain a provision addressing the applicability of the treaty in the case of income derived through a partnership or other fiscally transparent entity. In addition, the conference agreement grants the Secretary of the Treasury authority to prescribe regulations to determine, in situations other than the situation specifically described in the statutory provision, the extent to which a taxpayer shall not be entitled to benefits under an income tax treaty of the United States with respect to any payment received by, or income attributable to activities of, an entity that is treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes (or is otherwise treated as fiscally transparent for such purposes) but is treated as fiscally non-transparent for purposes of the tax laws of the jurisdiction of residence of the taxpayer.

The conferees note that on June 30, 1997 the Secretary issued proposed and temporary regulations addressing the availability of treaty benefits in cases involving hybrid entities. The conferees believe that these regulations are consistent with the provision in the conference agreement. The conferees also believe that the provision in the conference agreement and the temporary and proposed regulations are consistent with U.S. treaty obligations. Such provision and such regulations represent interpretations of U.S. treaties clarifying those situations involving hybrid entities in which taxpayers are entitled to treaty benefits and those situations in which they are not.

6. Interest on underpayments that are reduced by foreign tax credit carrybacks (sec. 1176 of the House bill and sec. 865 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

U.S. persons may credit foreign taxes against U.S. tax on foreign source income. The amount of foreign tax credits that can be claimed in a year is subject to a limitation that prevents taxpayers from using foreign tax credits to offset U.S. tax on U.S. source income. Separate limitations are applied to specific categories of income. The amount of creditable taxes paid or accrued in any taxable year which exceeds the foreign tax credit limitation is permitted to be carried back two years and carried forward five years.

For purposes of the computation of interest on overpayments of tax, if an overpayment for a taxable year results from a foreign tax credit carryback from a subsequent taxable year, the overpayment is deemed not to arise prior to the filing date for the subsequent taxable year in which the foreign taxes were paid or accrued (sec. 6611(g)). Accordingly, interest does not accrue on the overpayment prior to the filing date for the year of the carryback that effectively created such overpayment. In *Fluor Corp.* v. *United States,* 35 Fed. Cl. 520 (1996), the court held that in the case of an underpayment of tax (rather than an overpayment) for a taxable year that is eliminated by a foreign tax credit carryback from a subsequent taxable year, interest does not accrue on the underpayment that is eliminated by the foreign tax credit carryback. The Government has filed an appeal in the *Fluor* case.

House Bill

Under the House bill, if an underpayment for a taxable year is reduced or eliminated by a foreign tax credit carryback from a subsequent taxable year, such carryback does not affect the computation of interest on the underpayment for the period ending with the filing date for such subsequent taxable year in which the foreign taxes were paid or accrued. The House bill also clarifies the application of the interest rules of both section 6601 and section 6611 in the case of a foreign tax credit carryback that is triggered by a net operating loss or net capital loss carryback; in such a case, a deficiency is not considered to have been reduced, and an overpayment is not considered to have been created, until the filing date for the subsequent year in which the loss carryback arose. No inference is intended regarding the computation of interest under present law in the case of a foreign tax credit carryback (including a foreign tax credit carryback that is triggered by a net operating loss or net capital loss carryback).

Effective date.—The provision is effective for foreign taxes actually paid or accrued in taxable years beginning after date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

7. Determination of period of limitations relating to foreign tax credits (sec. 1177 of the House bill and sec. 866 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

U.S. persons may credit foreign taxes against U.S. tax on foreign source income. The amount of foreign tax credits that can be claimed in a year is subject to a limitation that prevents taxpayers from using foreign tax credits to offset U.S. tax on U.S. source income. Separate limitations are applied to specific categories of income. The amount of creditable taxes paid or accrued in any taxable year which exceeds the foreign tax credit limitation is permitted to be carried back two years and carried forward five years.

For purposes of the period of limitations on filing claims for credit or refund, in the case of a claim relating to an overpayment attributable to foreign tax credits, the limitations period is ten years from the filing date for the taxable year with respect to which the claim is made. The Internal Revenue Service has taken the position that, in the case of a foreign tax credit carryforward, the period of limitations is determined by reference to the year in which the foreign taxes were paid or accrued (and not the year to which the foreign tax credits are carried) (Rev. Rul. 84–125, 1984–2 C.B. 125). However, the court in *Ampex Corp.* v. *United States,* 620 F.2d 853 (1980), held that, in the case of a foreign tax credit carryforward, the period of limitations is determined by reference to the year to which the foreign tax credits are carried (and not the year in which the foreign taxes were paid or accrued).

House Bill

Under the House bill, in the case of a claim relating to an overpayment attributable to foreign tax credits, the limitations period is determined by reference to the year in which the foreign taxes were paid or accrued (and not the year to which the foreign tax credits are carried). No inference is intended regarding the determination of such limitations period under present law.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for foreign taxes paid or accrued in taxable years beginning after date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

8. Treatment of income from certain sales of inventory as U.S. source (sec. 864 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

U.S. persons are subject to U.S. tax on their worldwide income. A credit against U.S. tax on foreign source income is allowed for foreign taxes. The amount of foreign tax credits that can be claimed in a year is subject to a limitation that prevents taxpayers from using foreign tax credits to offset U.S. tax on U.S. source income. Specific rules apply in determining whether income is from U.S. or foreign sources. Income from the sale or exchange of inventory property generally is sourced where the sale occurs. In *Liggett Group, Inc.* v. *Commissioner,* 58 T.C.M. 1167 (1990), the court concluded that a sale of inventory property by a U.S. corporation to U.S. customers gave rise to foreign source income because the sale occurred outside the United States.

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

Under the Senate amendment, income from a sale of inventory property by a U.S. resident to another U.S. resident for use, consumption, or disposition in the United States is treated as U.S. source income, if the sale is not attributable to an office or other fixed place of business maintained by the seller outside the United States.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after date of enactment.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement does not include the provision in the Senate amendment.

9. Modify foreign tax credit carryover rules (sec. 867 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

U.S. persons may credit foreign taxes against U.S. tax on foreign source income. The amount of foreign tax credits that can be claimed in a year is subject to a limitation that prevents taxpayers from using foreign tax credits to offset U.S. tax on U.S. source income. Separate foreign tax credit limitations are applied to specific categories of income.

The amount of creditable taxes paid or accrued (or deemed paid) in any taxable year which exceeds the foreign tax credit limitation is permitted to be carried back two years and forward five years. The amount carried over may be used as a credit in a carryover year to the extent the taxpayer otherwise has excess foreign tax credit limitation for such year. The separate foreign tax credit limitations apply for purposes of the carryover rules.

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment reduces the carryback period for excess foreign tax credits from two years to one year. The amendment also extends the excess foreign tax credit carryforward period from five years to seven years.

Effective date.—The provision applies to foreign tax credits arising in taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement does not include the provision in the Senate amendment.

10. Repeal special exception to foreign tax credit limitation for alternative minimum tax purposes (sec. 868 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Present law imposes a minimum tax on a corporation to the extent the taxpayer's minimum tax liability exceeds its regular tax liability. The corporate minimum tax is imposed at a rate of 20 percent on alternative minimum taxable income in excess of a phased-out $40,000 exemption amount.

The combination of the taxpayer's net operating loss carryover and foreign tax credits cannot reduce the taxpayer's alternative minimum tax liability by more than 90 percent of the amount determined without these items.

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989 ("1989 Act") provided a special exception to the limitation on the use of the foreign tax credit against the tentative minimum tax. In order to qualify for this exception, a corporation must meet four requirements. First, more than 50 percent of both the voting power and value of the stock of the corporation must be owned by U.S. persons who are not members of an affiliated group which includes such corporation. Second, all of the activities of the corporation must be conducted in one foreign country with which the United States has an income tax treaty in effect and such treaty must provide for the exchange of information between such country and the United States. Third, the corporation generally must distribute to its shareholders all current earnings and profits (except for certain amounts utilized for normal maintenance or capital expenditures related to its existing business). Fourth, all of such distributions which are received by U.S. persons must be utilized by such persons in a U.S. trade or business. This exception applies to taxable years beginning after March 31, 1990 (with a proration rule effective for certain taxable years which include March 31, 1990).

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

The special exception regarding the use of foreign tax credits for purposes of the alternative minimum tax, as provided by the 1989 Act, is repealed.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after the date of enactment.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the Senate amendment.

H. Pension and Employee Benefit Provisions

1. Cashout of certain accrued benefits (sec. 917 of the House bill and sec. 879 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under present law, in the case of an employee whose plan participation terminates, a qualified plan may involuntarily "cash out" the benefit (i.e., pay out the balance to the credit of a plan participant without the participant's consent, and, if applicable, the consent of the participant's spouse) if the present value of the benefit does not exceed $3,500. If a benefit is cashed out under this rule and the participant subsequently returns to employment covered by the plan, then service taken into account in computing benefits payable under the plan after the return need not include service

XI. FOREIGN TAX PROVISIONS

A. General Provisions

1. Simplify foreign tax credit limitation for individuals (sec. 1103 of the House bill and sec. 901 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

In order to compute the foreign tax credit, a taxpayer computes foreign source taxable income and foreign taxes paid in each of the applicable separate foreign tax credit limitation categories. In the case of an individual, this requires the filing of IRS Form 1116.

In many cases, individual taxpayers who are eligible to credit foreign taxes may have only a modest amount of foreign source gross income, all of which is income from investments. Taxable income of this type ordinarily is includible in the single foreign tax credit limitation category for passive income. However, under certain circumstances, the Code treats investment-type income (e.g., dividends and interest) as income in one of several other separate limitation categories (e.g., high withholding tax interest income or general limitation income). For this reason, any taxpayer with foreign source gross income is required to provide sufficient detail on Form 1116 to ensure that foreign source taxable income from investments, as well as all other foreign source taxable income, is allocated to the correct limitation category.

House Bill

The House bill allows individuals with no more than $300 ($600 in the case of married persons filing jointly) of creditable foreign taxes, and no foreign source income other than passive income, an exemption from the foreign tax credit limitation rules. (It is intended that an individual electing this exemption will not be required to file Form 1116 in order to obtain the benefit of the foreign tax credit.) An individual making this election is not entitled to any carryover of excess foreign taxes to or from a taxable year to which the election applies.

For purposes of this election, passive income generally is defined to include all types of income that is foreign personal holding company income under the subpart F rules, plus income inclusions from foreign personal holding companies and passive foreign investment companies, provided that the income is shown on a payee statement furnished to the individual. For purposes of this election, creditable foreign taxes include only foreign taxes that are shown on a payee statement furnished to the individual.

Effective date.—The provision applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

2. Simplify translation of foreign taxes (sec. 1104 of the House bill and sec. 902 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Translation of foreign taxes

Foreign income taxes paid in foreign currencies are required to be translated into U.S. dollar amounts using the exchange rate as of the time such taxes are paid to the foreign country or U.S. possession. This rule applies to foreign taxes paid directly by U.S. taxpayers, which taxes are creditable in the year paid or accrued, and to foreign taxes paid by foreign corporations that are deemed paid by a U.S. corporation that is a shareholder of the foreign corporation, and hence creditable, in the year that the U.S. corporation receives a dividend or has an income inclusion from the foreign corporation.

Redetermination of foreign taxes

For taxpayers that utilize the accrual basis of accounting for determining creditable foreign taxes, accrued and unpaid foreign tax liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the exchange rate as of the last day of the taxable year of accrual. If a difference exists between the dollar value of accrued foreign taxes and the dollar value of those taxes when paid, a redetermination of foreign taxes arises. A foreign tax redetermination may occur in the case of a refund of foreign taxes. A foreign tax redetermination also may arise because the amount of foreign currency units actually paid differs from the amount of foreign currency units accrued. In addition, a redetermination may arise due to fluctuations in the value of the foreign currency relative to the dollar between the date of accrual and the date of payment.

As a general matter, a redetermination of foreign tax paid or accrued directly by a U.S. person requires notification of the Internal Revenue Service and a redetermination of U.S. tax liability for the taxable year for which the foreign tax was claimed as a credit. The Treasury regulations provide exceptions to this rule for de minimis cases. In the case of a redetermination of foreign taxes that qualify for the indirect (or "deemed-paid") foreign tax credit under sections 902 and 960, the Treasury regulations generally require taxpayers to make appropriate adjustments to the payor foreign corporation's pools of earnings and profits and foreign taxes.

House Bill

Translation of foreign taxes

Translation of certain accrued foreign taxes

With respect to taxpayers that take foreign income taxes into account when accrued, the House bill generally provides for foreign taxes to be translated at the average exchange rate for the taxable year to which such taxes relate. This rule does not apply (1) to any foreign income tax paid after the date two years after the close of the taxable year to which such taxes relate, (2) with respect to taxes of an accrual-basis taxpayer that are actually paid in a taxable year prior to the year to which they relate, or (3) to tax payments that are denominated in an inflationary currency (as defined by regulations).

Translation of all other foreign taxes

Under the House bill, foreign taxes not eligible for application of the preceding rule generally are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rates as of the time such taxes are paid. The House bill provides the Secretary of the Treasury with authority to issue regulations that would allow foreign tax payments to be translated into U.S. dollar amounts using an average exchange rate for a specified period.

Redetermination of foreign taxes

Under the House bill, a redetermination is required if (1) accrued taxes when paid differ from the amounts claimed as credits by the taxpayer; (2) accrued taxes are not paid before the date two years after the close of the taxable year to which such taxes relate; or (3) any tax paid is refunded in whole or in part. Thus, for example, the House bill provides that if at the close of the second taxable year after the taxable year to which an accrued tax relates, any portion of the tax so accrued has not yet been paid, a foreign tax redetermination under section 905(c) is required for the amount representing the unpaid portion of that accrued tax. In other words, the previous accrual of any tax that is unpaid as of that date is denied. In cases where a redetermination is required, as under present law, the bill specifies that the taxpayer must notify the Secretary, who will redetermine the amount of the tax for the year or years affected. In the case of indirect foreign tax credits, regulatory authority is granted to prescribe appropriate adjustments to the foreign tax credit pools in lieu of such a redetermination.

The House bill provides that in the case of accrued taxes not paid within the date two years after the close of the taxable year to which such taxes relate, any such taxes if subsequently paid are taken into account for the taxable year to which such taxes relate. These taxes are translated into U.S. dollar amounts using the exchange rates in effect as of the time such taxes are paid.

For example, assume that in year 1 a taxpayer accrues 1,000 units of foreign tax that relate to year 1 and that the currency involved is not inflationary . Further assume that as of the end of year 1 the tax is unpaid. In this case, the House bill provides that the taxpayer translates 1,000 units of accrued foreign tax into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate for year 1. If the 1,000 units of tax are paid by the taxpayer in either year 2 or year 3, no redetermination of foreign tax is required. If any portion of the tax so accrued remains unpaid as of the end of year 3, however, the taxpayer is required to redetermine its foreign tax accrued in year 1 to eliminate the accrued but unpaid tax, thereby reducing its foreign tax credit for such year. If the taxpayer pays the disallowed taxes in year 4, the taxpayer again redetermines its foreign taxes (and foreign tax credit) for year 1, but the taxes paid in year 4 are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate for year 4.

Effective date

The provision generally is effective for foreign taxes paid (in the case of taxpayers using the cash basis for determining the foreign tax credit) or accrued (in the case of taxpayers using the accrual basis for determining the foreign tax credit) in taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997. The provision's changes to the foreign tax redetermination rules apply to foreign taxes which relate to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill with one modification with respect to the treatment of accrued taxes that are paid more than two years after the close of the taxable year to which such taxes relate. In the case of the indirect foreign tax credit, any such taxes are taken into account for the taxable year in which paid, and are translated into U.S. dollar amounts using the exchange rates as of the time such taxes are paid. In the case of the direct foreign tax credit, as under the House bill, any such taxes are taken into account for the taxable year to which such taxes relate, but are translated into U.S. dollar amounts using the exchange rates in effect as of the time such taxes are paid.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the Senate amendment with one modification. The conference agreement clarifies that the regulatory authority applicable in the case of indirect foreign tax credits allows, in lieu of a redetermination of taxes, appropriate adjustments to the pools of post-1986 foreign income taxes and the pools of post-1986 undistributed earnings.

3. Election to use simplified foreign tax credit limitation for alternative minimum tax purposes (sec. 1105 of the House bill and sec. 903 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Computing foreign tax credit limitations requires the allocation and apportionment of deductions between items of foreign source income and items of U.S. source income. Foreign tax credit limitations must be computed both for regular tax purposes and for purposes of the alternative minimum tax (AMT). Consequently, the allocation and apportionment of deductions must be done separately for regular tax foreign tax credit limitation purposes and AMT foreign tax credit limitation purposes.

House Bill

The House bill permits taxpayers to elect to use as their AMT foreign tax credit limitation fraction the ratio of foreign source regular taxable income to entire alternative minimum taxable income, rather than the ratio of foreign source alternative minimum taxable income to entire alternative minimum taxable income. Under this election, foreign source regular taxable income is used, however, only to the extent it does not exceed entire alternative minimum taxable income. In the event that foreign source regular taxable income does exceed entire alternative minimum taxable income, and the taxpayer has income in more than one foreign tax credit limitation category, it is intended that the foreign source taxable income in each such category generally would be reduced by a pro rata portion of that excess.

The election is available only in the first taxable year beginning after December 31, 1997 for which the taxpayer claims an AMT foreign tax credit. It is intended that a taxpayer will be treated, for this purpose, as claiming an AMT foreign tax credit for any taxable year for which the taxpayer chooses to have the benefits of the foreign tax credit and in which the taxpayer is subject to the alternative minimum tax or would be subject to the alternative minimum tax but for the availability of the AMT foreign tax credit. The election, once made, will apply to all subsequent taxable years, and may be revoked only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury.

Effective date.—The provision applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

4. Simplify treatment of personal transactions in foreign currency (sec. 1106 of the House bill and sec. 904 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

When a U.S. taxpayer makes a payment in a foreign currency, gain or loss (referred to as "exchange gain or loss") generally arises from any change in the value of the foreign currency relative to the U.S. dollar between the time the currency was acquired (or the obligation to pay was incurred) and the time that the payment is made. Gain or loss results because foreign currency, unlike the U.S. dollar, is treated as property for Federal income tax purposes.

Exchange gain or loss can arise in the course of a trade or business or in connection with an investment transaction. Exchange gain or loss also can arise where foreign currency was acquired for personal use.

House Bill

If an individual acquires foreign currency and disposes of it in a personal transaction and the exchange rate changes between the acquisition and disposition of such currency, the House bill applies nonrecognition treatment to any resulting exchange gain, provided that such gain does not exceed $200. The provision does not change the treatment of resulting exchange losses.

Effective date.—The provision applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment with one modification. The conference agreement clarifies that transactions entered into in connection with a business trip constitute personal transactions for purposes of this provision. Exchange gain resulting from such transactions is eligible for nonrecognition treatment under this provision.

5. Simplify foreign tax credit limitation for dividends from 10/50 companies (sec. 1107 of the House bill)

Present Law

U.S. persons may credit foreign taxes against U.S. tax on foreign source income. The amount of foreign tax credits that can be claimed in a year is subject to a limitation that prevents taxpayers from using foreign tax credits to offset U.S. tax on U.S. source income. Separate limitations are applied to specific categories of income.

Special foreign tax credit limitation rules apply in the case of dividends received from a foreign corporation in which the taxpayer owns at least 10 percent of the stock by vote and which is not a controlled foreign corporation (a so-called "10/50 company"). Dividends received by the taxpayer from each 10/50 company are subject to a separate foreign tax credit limitation.

House Bill

Under the House bill, a single foreign tax credit limitation generally applies to dividends received by the taxpayer from all 10/50 companies. However, separate foreign tax credit limitations continue to apply to dividends received by the taxpayer from each 10/50 company that qualifies as a passive foreign investment company. Regulatory authority is granted to provide rules regarding the treatment of distributions out of earnings and profits for periods prior to the taxpayer's acquisition of such stock. To the extent the regulations treat distributions from a foreign corporation out of earnings and profits for pre-acquisition periods as subject to a separate foreign tax credit limitation, it is expected that the regulations would allow the taxpayer to elect to apply that separate foreign tax credit limitation (rather than the limitation applicable to dividends from all 10/50 companies) also to distributions out of post-acquisition earnings and profits of such corporation.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

Senate Amendment

No provision.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally provides for look-through treatment to apply in characterizing dividends from 10/50 companies for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. Under the conference agreement, any dividend from a 10/50 company paid out of earnings and profits accumulated in a taxable year beginning after December 31, 2002 is treated as income in a foreign tax credit limitation category in proportion to the ratio of the earnings and profits attributable to income in such foreign tax credit limitation category to the total earnings and profits. Regulatory authority is granted to provide rules regarding the treatment of distributions out of earning and profits for periods prior to the taxpayer's acquisition of such stock.

In the case of dividends from a 10/50 company paid out of earnings and profits accumulated in a taxable year beginning before January 1, 2003, the conference agreement provides that a single foreign tax credit limitation generally applies to *all* such dividends from all 10/50 companies. However, separate foreign tax credit limitations continue to apply to any such dividends received by the taxpayer from each 10/50 company that qualifies as a passive foreign investment company. Regulatory authority is granted to provide rules regarding the treatment of distributions out of earning and profits for periods prior to the taxpayer's acquisition of such stock.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

B. General Provisions Affecting Treatment of Controlled Foreign Corporations (secs. 1111–1113 of the House bill and secs. 911–913 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

If an upper-tier controlled foreign corporation ("CFC") sells stock of a lower-tier CFC, the gain generally is included in the income of U.S. 10-percent shareholders as subpart F income and such U.S. shareholder's basis in the stock of the first-tier CFC is increased to account for the inclusion. The inclusion is not characterized for foreign tax credit limitation purposes by reference to the nature of the income of the lower-tier CFC; instead it generally is characterized as passive income.

For purposes of the foreign tax credit limitations applicable to so-called 10/50 companies, a CFC is not treated as a 10/50 company with respect to any distribution out of its earnings and profits for periods during which it was a CFC and, except as provided in regulations, the recipient of the distribution was a U.S. 10-percent shareholder in such corporation.

If subpart F income of a lower-tier CFC is included in the gross income of a U.S. 10- percent shareholder, no provision of present law allows adjustment of the basis of the upper-tier CFC's stock in the lower-tier CFC.

The subpart F income earned by a foreign corporation during its taxable year is taxed to the persons who are U.S. 10-percent shareholders of the corporation on the last day, in that year, on which the corporation is a CFC. In the case of a U.S. 10-percent shareholder who acquired stock in a CFC during the year, such inclusions are reduced by all or a portion of the amount of dividends paid in that year by the foreign corporation to any person other than the acquiror with respect to that stock.

As a general rule, subpart F income does not include income earned from sources within the United States if the income is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business by the CFC. This general rule does not apply, however, if the income is exempt from, or subject to a reduced rate of, U.S. tax pursuant to a provision of a U.S. treaty.

A U.S. corporation that owns at least 10 percent of the voting stock of a foreign corporation is treated as if it had paid a share of the foreign income taxes paid by the foreign corporation in the year in which the foreign corporation's earnings and profits become subject to U.S. tax as dividend income of the U.S. shareholder. A U.S. corporation also may be deemed to have paid taxes paid by a second- or third-tier foreign corporation if certain conditions are satisfied.

House Bill

Lower-tier CFCs

Characterization of gain on stock disposition

Under the House bill, if a CFC is treated as having gain from the sale or exchange of stock in a foreign corporation, the gain is treated as a dividend to the same extent that it would have been so treated under section 1248 if the CFC were a U.S. person. This provision, however, does not affect the determination of whether the corporation whose stock is sold or exchanged is a CFC.

Thus, for example, if a U.S. corporation owns 100 percent of the stock of a foreign corporation, which owns 100 percent of the stock of a second foreign corporation, then under the House bill, any gain of the first corporation upon a sale or exchange of stock of the second corporation is treated as a dividend for purposes of subpart F income inclusions to the U.S. shareholder, to the extent of earnings and profits of the second corporation attributable to periods in which the first foreign corporation owned the stock of the second foreign corporation while the latter was a CFC with respect to the U.S. shareholder.

Gain on disposition of stock in a related corporation created or organized under the laws of, and having a substantial part of its assets in a trade or business in, the same foreign country as the gain recipient, even if recharacterized as a dividend under the House bill provision, is not excluded from foreign personal holding company income under the same-country exception that applies to actual dividends.

Under the House bill, for purposes of this rule, a CFC is treated as having sold or exchanged stock if, under any provision of subtitle A of the Code, the CFC is treated as having gain from the sale or exchange of such stock. Thus, for example, if a CFC distributes to its shareholder stock in a foreign corporation, and the distribution results in gain being recognized by the CFC under section 311(b) as if the stock were sold to the shareholder for fair market value, the House bill makes clear that, for purposes of this rule, the CFC is treated as having sold or exchanged the stock.

The House bill also repeals a provision added to the Code by the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988 that, except as provided by regulations, requires a recipient of a distribution from a CFC to have been a U.S. 10-percent shareholder of that CFC for the period during which the earnings and profits which gave rise to the distribution were generated in order to avoid treating the distribution as one coming from a 10/50 company. Thus, under the House bill, a CFC is not treated as a 10/50 company with respect to any distribution out of its earnings and profits for periods during which it was a CFC, whether or not the recipient of the distribution was a U.S. 10-percent shareholder of the corporation when the earnings and profits giving rise to the distribution were generated.

Adjustments to basis of stock

Under the House bill, when a lower-tier CFC earns subpart F income, and stock in that corporation is later disposed of by an upper-tier CFC, the resulting income inclusion of the U.S. 10-percent shareholders, under regulations, is to be adjusted to account for previous inclusions, in a manner similar to the adjustments provided to the basis of stock in a first-tier CFC. Thus, just as the basis of a U.S. 10-percent shareholder in a first-tier CFC rises when subpart F income is earned and falls when previously taxed income is distributed, so as to avoid double taxation of the income on a later disposition of the stock of that company, the subpart F income from gain on the disposition of a lower-tier CFC generally is reduced by income inclusions of earnings that were not subsequently distributed by the lower-tier CFC.

For example, assume that a U.S. person is the owner of all of the stock of a first-tier CFC which, in turn, is the sole shareholder of a second-tier CFC. In year 1, the second-tier CFC earns $100 of subpart F income which is included in the U.S. person's gross income for that year. In year 2, the first-tier CFC disposes of the second-tier CFC's stock and recognizes $300 of income with respect to the disposition. All of that income constitutes subpart F foreign personal holding company income. Under the House bill, the Secretary is granted regulatory authority to reduce the U.S. person's year 2 subpart F inclusion by $100—the amount of year 1 subpart F income of the second-tier CFC that was included, in that year, in the U.S. person's gross income. Such an adjustment, in effect, allows for a step-up in the basis of the stock of the second-tier CFC to the extent of its subpart F income previously included in the U.S. person's gross income.

Subpart F inclusions in year of acquisition

If a U.S. 10-percent shareholder acquires the stock of a CFC from another U.S. 10-percent shareholder during a taxable year of the CFC in which it earns subpart F income, the House bill provision reduces the acquiror's subpart F income inclusion for that year by a portion of the amount of the dividend deemed (under sec. 1248) to be received by the transferor. The portion by which the inclusion is reduced (as is the case if a dividend was paid to the previous owner of the stock) does not exceed the lesser of the amount of dividends with respect to such stock deemed received (under sec. 1248) by other persons during the year or the amount determined by multiplying the subpart F income for the year by the proportion of the year during which the acquiring shareholder did not own the stock.

Treatment of U.S. income earned by a CFC

Under the House bill, an exemption or reduction by treaty of the branch profits tax that would be imposed under section 884 on a CFC does not affect the general statutory exemption from subpart F income that is granted for U.S. source effectively connected income. For example, assume a CFC earns income of a type that generally would be subpart F income, and that income is earned from sources within the United States in connection with business operations therein. Further assume that repatriation of that income is exempted from the U.S. branch profits tax under a provision of an applicable U.S. income tax treaty. The House bill provides that, notwithstanding the treaty's effect on the branch tax, the income is not treated as subpart F income as long as it is not exempt from U.S. taxation (or subject to a reduced rate of tax) under any other treaty provision.

Extension of indirect foreign tax credit

The House bill extends the application of the indirect foreign tax credit (secs. 902 and 960) to taxes paid or accrued by certain fourth-, fifth-, and sixth-tier foreign corporations. In general, three requirements are required to be satisfied by a foreign company at any of these tiers to qualify for the credit. First, the company must be a CFC. Second, the U.S. corporation claiming the credit under section 902(a) must be a U.S. shareholder (as defined in sec. 951(b)) with respect to the foreign company. Third, the product of the percentage ownership of voting stock at each level from the U.S. corporation down must equal at least 5 percent. The House bill limits the application of the indirect foreign tax credit below the third tier to taxes paid or incurred in taxable years during which the payor is a CFC. Foreign taxes paid below the sixth tier of foreign corporations remain ineligible for the indirect foreign tax credit.

Effective dates

Lower-tier CFCs.—The provision that treats gains on dispositions of stock in lower-tier CFCs as dividends under section 1248 principles applies to gains recognized on transactions occurring after the date of enactment.

The provision that expands look-through treatment, for foreign tax credit limitation purposes, of dividends from CFCs is effective for distributions after the date of enactment.

The provision that provides for regulatory adjustments to U.S. shareholder inclusions, with respect to gains of CFCs from dispositions of stock in lower-tier CFCs is effective for determining inclusions for taxable years of U.S. shareholders beginning after December 31, 1997. Thus, the House bill permits regulatory adjustments to an inclusion occurring after the effective date to account for income that was previously taxed under the subpart F provisions either prior to or subsequent to the effective date.

Subpart F inclusions in year of acquisition.—The provision that permits dispositions of stock to be taken into consideration in determining a U.S. shareholder's subpart F inclusion for a taxable year is effective with respect to dispositions occurring after the date of enactment.

Treatment of U.S. source income earned by a CFC.—The provision concerning the effect of treaty exemptions from, or reductions of, the branch profits tax on the determination of subpart F income is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1986.

Extension of indirect foreign tax credit.—The provision that extends application of the indirect foreign tax credit to certain CFCs below the third tier is effective for foreign taxes paid or incurred by CFCs for taxable years of such corporations beginning after the date of enactment.

In the case of any chain of foreign corporations, the taxes of which would be eligible for the indirect foreign tax credit, under present law or under the House bill, but for the denial of indirect credits below the third or sixth tier, as the case may be, no liquidation, reorganization, or similar transaction in a taxable year beginning after the date of enactment will have the effect of permitting taxes to be taken into account under the indirect foreign tax credit provisions of the Code which could not have been taken into account under those provisions but for such transaction.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

C. Modification of Passive Foreign Investment Company Provisions to Eliminate Overlap with Subpart F, to Allow Mark-to-Market Election, and to Require Measurement Based on Value for PFIC Asset Test (secs. 1121–1123 of the House bill and secs. 751–753 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Overview

U.S. citizens and residents and U.S. corporations (collectively, "U.S. persons") are taxed currently by the United States on their worldwide income, subject to a credit against U.S. tax on foreign income based on foreign income taxes paid with respect to such income. A foreign corporation generally is not subject to U.S. tax on its income from operations outside the United States.

Income of a foreign corporation generally is taxed by the United States when it is repatriated to the United States through payment to the corporation's U.S. shareholders, subject to a foreign tax credit. However, a variety of regimes imposing current U.S. tax on income earned through a foreign corporation have been reflected in the Code. Today the principal anti-deferral regimes set forth in the Code are the controlled foreign corporation rules of subpart F (secs. 951–964) and the passive foreign investment company rules (secs. 1291–1297). Additional anti-deferral regimes set forth in the Code are the foreign personal holding company rules (secs. 551–558); the personal holding company rules (secs. 541–547); the accumulated earnings tax (secs. 531–537); and the foreign investment company and electing foreign investment company rules (secs. 1246–1247). The anti-deferral regimes included in the Code overlap such that a given taxpayer may be subject to multiple sets of anti-deferral rules.

Controlled foreign corporations

A controlled foreign corporation (CFC) is defined generally as any foreign corporation if U.S. persons own more than 50 percent of the corporation's stock (measured by vote or value), taking into account only those U.S. persons that own at least 10 percent of the stock (measured by vote only) (sec. 957). Stock ownership includes not only stock owned directly, but also stock owned indirectly or constructively (sec. 958).

Certain income of a CFC (referred to as "subpart F income") is subject to current U.S. tax. The United States generally taxes the U.S. 10-percent shareholders of a CFC currently on their pro rata shares of the subpart F income of the CFC. In effect, the Code treats those U.S. shareholders as having received a current distribution out of the CFC's subpart F income. Such shareholders also are subject to current U.S. tax on their pro rata shares of the CFC's earnings invested in U.S. property. The foreign tax credit may reduce the U.S. tax on these amounts.

Passive foreign investment companies

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 established an anti-deferral regime for passive foreign investment companies (PFICs). A PFIC is any foreign corporation if (1) 75 percent or more of its gross income for the taxable year consists of passive income, or (2) 50 percent or more of the average fair market value of its assets consists of assets that produce, or are held for the production of, passive income. For purposes of applying the PFIC asset test, the assets of a CFC are required to be measured using adjusted basis; the assets of a foreign corporation that is not a CFC are measured using fair market value unless the corporation elects to use adjusted basis.

Two alternative sets of income inclusion rules apply to U.S. persons that are shareholders in a PFIC. One set of rules applies to PFICs that are "qualified electing funds," under which electing U.S. shareholders include currently in gross income their respective shares of the PFIC's total earnings, with a separate election to defer payment of tax, subject to an interest charge, on income not currently received. The second set of rules applies to PFICs that are not qualified electing funds ("nonqualified funds"), under which the U.S. shareholders pay tax on income realized from the PFIC and an interest charge that is attributable to the value of deferral.

Overlap between subpart F and the PFIC provisions

A foreign corporation that is a CFC is also a PFIC if it meets the passive income test or the passive asset test described above. In such a case, the 10-percent U.S. shareholders are subject both to the subpart F provisions (which require current inclusion of certain earnings of the corporation) and to the PFIC provisions (which impose an interest charge on amounts distributed from the corporation and gains recognized upon the disposition of the corporation's stock, unless an election is made to include currently all of the corporation's earnings).

House Bill

Elimination of overlap between subpart F and the PFIC provisions

In the case of a PFIC that is also a CFC, the House bill generally treats the corporation as not a PFIC with respect to certain 10-percent shareholders. This rule applies if the corporation is a CFC (within the meaning of section 957(a)) and the shareholder is a U.S. shareholder (within the meaning of section 951(b)) of such corporation (i.e., if the shareholder is subject to the current inclusion rules of subpart F with respect to such corporation). Moreover, the rule applies for that portion of the shareholder's holding period with respect to the corporation's stock which is after December 31, 1997 and during which the corporation is a CFC and the shareholder is a U.S. shareholder. Accordingly, a shareholder that is subject to current inclusion under the subpart F rules with respect to stock of a PFIC that is also a CFC generally is not subject also to the PFIC provisions with respect to the same stock. The PFIC provisions continue to apply in the case of a PFIC that is also a CFC to shareholders that are not subject to subpart F (i.e., to shareholders that are U.S. persons and that own (directly, indirectly, or constructively) less than 10 percent of the corporation's stock by vote).

If a shareholder of a PFIC is subject to the rules applicable to nonqualified funds before becoming eligible for the special rules provided under the proposal for shareholders that are subject to subpart F, the stock held by such shareholder continues to be treated as PFIC stock unless the shareholder makes an election to pay tax and an interest charge with respect to the unrealized appreciation in the stock or the accumulated earnings of the corporation.

If, under the House bill, a shareholder is not subject to the PFIC provisions because the shareholder is subject to subpart F and the shareholder subsequently ceases to be subject to subpart F with respect to the corporation, for purposes of the PFIC provisions, the shareholder's holding period for such stock is treated as beginning immediately after such cessation. Accordingly, in applying the rules applicable to PFICs that are not qualified electing funds, the earnings of the corporation are not attributed to the period during which the shareholder was subject to subpart F with respect to the corporation and was not subject to the PFIC provisions.

Mark-to-market election

The House bill allows a shareholder of a PFIC to make a mark-to-market election with respect to the stock of the PFIC, provided that such stock is marketable (as defined below). Under such an election, the shareholder includes in income each year an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the PFIC stock as of the close of the taxable year over the shareholder's adjusted basis in such stock. The shareholder is allowed a deduction for the excess, if any, of the adjusted basis of the PFIC stock over its fair market value as of the close of the taxable year. However, deductions are allowable under this rule only to the extent of any net mark-to-market gains with respect to the stock included by the shareholder for prior taxable years.

Under the House bill, this mark-to-market election is available only for PFIC stock that is "marketable." For this purpose, PFIC stock is considered marketable if it is regularly traded on a national securities exchange that is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission or on the national market system established pursuant to section 11A of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. In addition, PFIC stock is considered marketable if it is regularly traded on any exchange or market that the Secretary of the Treasury determines has rules sufficient to ensure that the market price represents a legitimate and sound fair market value. Any option on stock that is considered marketable under the foregoing rules is treated as marketable, to the extent provided in regulations. PFIC stock also is treated as marketable, to the extent provided in regulations, if the PFIC offers for sale (or has outstanding) stock of which it is the issuer and which is redeemable at its net asset value in a manner comparable to a U.S. regulated investment company (RIC).

In addition, the House bill treats as marketable any PFIC stock owned by a RIC that offers for sale (or has outstanding) any stock of which it is the issuer and which is redeemable at its net asset value. The House bill treats as marketable any PFIC stock held by any other RIC that otherwise publishes net asset valuations at least annually, except to the extent provided in regulations. It is believed that even for RICs that do not make a market in their own stock, but that do regularly report their net asset values in compliance with the securities laws, inaccurate valuation may bring exposure to legal liabilities, and this exposure may ensure the reliability of the values such RICs assign to the PFIC stock they hold.

The shareholder's adjusted basis in the PFIC stock is adjusted to reflect the amounts included or deducted under this election. In the case of stock owned indirectly by a U.S. person through a foreign entity (as discussed below), the basis adjustments for mark-to-market gains and losses apply to the basis of the PFIC in the hands of the intermediary owner, but only for purposes of the subsequent application of the PFIC rules to the tax treatment of the indirect U.S. owner. In addition, similar basis adjustments are made to the adjusted basis of the property actually held by the U.S. person by reason of which the U.S. person is treated as owning PFIC stock.

Amounts included in income pursuant to a mark-to-market election, as well as gain on the actual sale or other disposition of the PFIC stock, is treated as ordinary income. Ordinary loss treatment also applies to the deductible portion of any mark-to-market loss on PFIC stock, as well as to any loss realized on the actual sale or other disposition of PFIC stock to the extent that the amount of such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included with respect to such stock. The source of amounts with respect to a mark-to-market election generally is determined in the same manner as if such amounts were gain or loss from the sale of stock in the PFIC.

An election to mark to market applies to the taxable year for which made and all subsequent taxable years, unless the PFIC stock ceases to be marketable or the Secretary of the Treasury consents to the revocation of such election.

Under constructive ownership rules, U.S. persons that own PFIC stock through certain foreign entities may make this election with respect to the PFIC. These constructive ownership rules apply to treat PFIC stock owned directly or indirectly by or for a foreign partnership, trust, or estate as owned proportionately by the partners or beneficiaries, except as provided in regulations. Stock in a PFIC that is thus treated as owned by a person is treated as actually owned by that person for purposes of again applying the constructive ownership rules. In the case of a U.S. person that is treated as owning PFIC stock by application of this constructive ownership rule, any disposition by the U.S. person or by any other person that results in the U.S. person being treated as no longer owning the PFIC stock, as well as any disposition by the person actually owning the PFIC stock, is treated as a disposition by the U.S. person of the PFIC stock.

In addition, a CFC that owns stock in a PFIC is treated as a U.S. person that may make the election with respect to such PFIC stock. Any amount includible (or deductible) in the CFC's gross income pursuant to this mark-to-market election is treated as foreign personal holding company income (or a deduction allocable to foreign personal holding company income). The source of such amounts, however, is determined by reference to the actual residence of the CFC.

In the case of a taxpayer that makes the mark-to-market election with respect to stock in a PFIC that is a nonqualified fund after the beginning of the taxpayer's holding period with respect to such stock, a coordination rule applies to ensure that the taxpayer does not avoid the interest charge with respect to amounts attributable to periods before such election. A similar rule applies to RICs that make the mark-to-market election under the House bill after the beginning of their holding period with respect to PFIC stock (to the extent that the RIC had not previously marked to market the stock of the PFIC).

Except as provided in the coordination rules described above, the rules of section 1291 (with respect to nonqualified funds) do not apply to a shareholder of a PFIC if a mark-to-market election is in effect for the shareholder's taxable year. Moreover, in applying section 1291 in a case where a mark-to-market election was in effect for any prior taxable year, the shareholder's holding period for the PFIC stock is treated as beginning immediately after the last taxable year for which such election applied.

A special rule applicable in the case of a PFIC shareholder that becomes a U.S. person treats the adjusted basis of any PFIC stock held by such person on the first day of the year in which such shareholder becomes a U.S. person as equal to the greater of its fair market value on such date or its adjusted basis on such date. Such rule applies only for purposes of the mark-to-market election.

Effective date

The provision is effective for taxable years of U.S. persons beginning after December 31, 1997, and taxable years of foreign corporations ending with or within such taxable years of U.S. persons.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment with one modification to the rules regarding the measurement of assets for purposes of applying the PFIC asset test. Under the conference agreement, if the stock of a foreign corporation is publicly traded for the taxable year, the PFIC asset test is applied using fair market value for purposes of measuring the PFIC's assets. For this purpose, the stock of a foreign corporation is treated as publicly traded if such stock is readily tradeable on a national securities exchange that is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the national market system established pursuant to section 11A of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, or any other exchange or market that the Secretary of the Treasury determines has rules sufficient to ensure that the market price represents a sound fair market value. Because the PFIC asset test is applied based on quarterly measurements of the corporation's assets, it is intended that a corporation the stock of which is publicly traded on each such quarterly measurement date during the taxable year will be eligible for this asset measurement rule for such taxable year. In applying the PFIC asset test, it is intended that the total value of a publicly-traded foreign corporation's assets generally will be treated as equal to the sum of the aggregate value of its outstanding stock plus its liabilities.

The conference agreement does not change the rules applicable to non-publicly-traded foreign corporations for purposes of the measurement of assets in applying the PFIC asset test. Accordingly, CFCs that are not publicly traded continue to be required to measure their assets using adjusted basis, and any other foreign corporations that are not publicly traded continue to measure their assets using fair market value unless they elect to use adjusted basis.

D. Simplify Formation and Operation of International Joint Ventures (secs. 1131, 1141–1145, and 1151 of the House bill and secs. 921, 931–935, and 941 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under section 1491, an excise tax generally is imposed on transfers of property by a U.S. person to a foreign corporation as paid-in surplus or as a contribution to capital or to a foreign partnership, estate or trust. The tax is 35 percent of the amount of gain inherent in the property transferred but not recognized for income tax purposes at the time of the transfer. However, several exceptions to the section 1491 excise tax are available. Under section 1494(c), a substantial penalty applies in the case of a failure to report a transfer described in section 1491.

Section 367 applies to require gain recognition upon certain transfers by U.S. persons to foreign corporations. Under section 367(d), a U.S. person that contributes intangible property to a foreign corporation is treated as having sold the property to the corporation and is treated as receiving deemed royalty payments from the corporation. These deemed royalty payments are treated as U.S. source income. A U.S. person may elect to apply similar rules to a transfer of intangible property to a foreign partnership that otherwise would be subject to the section 1491 excise tax.

A foreign partnership may be required to file a partnership return. If a foreign partnership fails to file a required return, losses and credits with respect to the partnership may be disallowed to the partnership. A U.S. person that acquires or disposes of an interest in a foreign partnership, or whose proportional interest in the partnership changes substantially, may be required to file an information return with respect to such event.

A partnership generally is considered to be a domestic partnership if it is created or organized in the United States or under the laws of the United States or any State. A foreign partnership generally is any partnership that is not a domestic partnership.

House Bill

Transfers of foreign entities

The House bill repeals the sections 1491–1494 excise tax and information reporting rules that apply to certain transfers of appreciated property by a U.S. person to a foreign entity. Instead of the excise tax that applies under present law to transfers to a foreign estate or trust, gain recognition is required upon a transfer of appreciated property by a U.S. person to a foreign estate or trust. Instead of the excise tax that applies under present law to certain transfers to foreign corporations, regulatory authority is granted under section 367 to deny nonrecognition treatment to such a transfer in a transaction that is not otherwise described in section 367. Instead of the excise tax that applies under present law to transfers to foreign partnerships, regulatory authority is granted to provide for gain recognition on a transfer of appreciated property to a partnership in cases where such gain otherwise would be transferred to a foreign partner. In addition, regulatory authority is granted to deny the nonrecognition treatment that is provided under section 1035 to certain exchanges of insurance policies, where the transfer is to a foreign person.

The House bill repeals the rule that treats as U.S. source income any deemed royalty arising under section 367(d). Under the House bill, in the case of a transfer of intangible property to a foreign corporation, the deemed royalty payments under section 367(d) are treated as foreign source income to the same extent that an actual royalty payment would be considered to be foreign source income. Regulatory authority is granted to provide similar treatment in the case of a transfer of intangible property to a foreign partnership.

Information reporting

The House bill provides detailed information reporting rules in the case of foreign partnerships. A foreign partnership generally is required to file a partnership return for a taxable year if the partnership has U.S. source income or is engaged in a U.S. trade or business, except to the extent provided in regulations.

Under the House bill, reporting rules similar to those applicable under present law in the case of controlled foreign corporations apply in the case of foreign partnerships. A U.S. partner that controls a foreign partnership is required to file an annual information return with respect to such partnership. For this purpose, a U.S. partner is considered to control a foreign partnership if the partner holds a more than 50 percent interest in the capital, profits, or, to the extent provided in regulations, losses, of the partnership. Similar information reporting also will be required from a U.S. 10-percent partner of a foreign partnership that is controlled by U.S. 10-percent partners. A $10,000 penalty applies to a failure to comply with these reporting requirements; additional penalties of up to $50,000 apply in the case of continued noncompliance after notification by the Secretary of the Treasury. The penalties for failure to report information with respect to a controlled foreign corporation are conformed with these penalties.

Under the House bill, reporting by a U.S. person of an acquisition or disposition of an interest in a foreign partnership, or a change in the person's proportional interest in the partnership, is required only in the case of acquisitions, dispositions, or changes involving at least a 10-percent interest. A $10,000 penalty applies to a failure to comply with these reporting requirements; additional penalties of up to $50,000 apply in the case of continued noncompliance after notification by the Secretary. The penalties for failure to report information with respect to a foreign corporation are conformed with these penalties.

Under the House bill, reporting rules similar to those applicable under present law in the case of transfers by U.S. persons to foreign corporations apply in the case of transfers to foreign partnerships. These reporting rules apply in the case of a transfer to a foreign partnership only if the U.S. person holds at least a 10-percent interest in the partnership or the value of the property transferred by such person to the partnership during a 12-month period exceeded $100,000. A penalty equal to 10 percent of the value of the property transferred applies to a failure to comply with these reporting requirements. The penalty under present law for failure to report transfers to a foreign corporation is conformed with this penalty. In the case of a transfer to a foreign partnership, failure to comply also results in gain recognition with respect to the property transferred.

Under the House bill, in the case of a failure to report required information with respect to a foreign corporation, partnership, or trust, the statute of limitations with respect to any event or period to which such information relates does not expire before the date that is three years after the date on which such information is provided.

Foreign or domestic partnership determination

Under the House bill, regulatory authority is granted to provide rules treating a partnership as a foreign partnership where such treatment is more appropriate. It is expected that a recharacterization of a partnership as foreign rather than domestic under such regulations will be based only on material factors such as the residence of the partners and the extent to which the partnership is engaged in business in the United States or earns U.S. source income. It also is expected that such regulations will provide guidance regarding the determination of whether an entity that is a partnership for Federal income tax purposes is to be considered to be created or organized in the United States or under the law of the United States or any State.

Effective date

The provisions with respect to the repeal of sections 1491–1494 are effective upon date of enactment. The provisions with respect to the source of a deemed royalty under section 367(d) also are effective for transfers made and royalties deemed received after date of enactment.

The provisions regarding information reporting with respect to foreign partnerships generally are effective for partnership taxable years beginning after date of enactment. The provisions regarding information reporting with respect to interests in, and transfers to, foreign partnerships are effective for transfers to, and changes in interest in, foreign partnerships after date of enactment. Taxpayers may elect to apply these rules to transfers made after August 20, 1996 (and thereby avoid a penalty under section 1494(c)) and the Secretary may prescribe simplified reporting requirements for these cases. The provision with respect to the statute of limitations in the case of noncompliance with reporting requirements is effective for information returns due after date of enactment.

The provision granting regulatory authority with respect to the treatment of partnerships as foreign or domestic is effective for partnership taxable years beginning after date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment generally follows the House bill with several modifications.

Under the Senate amendment, gain recognition is required upon a transfer of appreciated property by a U.S. person to a foreign estate or trust, except as provided in regulations. This rule does not apply to a transfer to a trust to the extent that any person is treated as the owner of the trust under section 679.

Under the Senate amendment, the penalty equal to 10 percent of the value of the transferred property that applies to a failure to comply with the information reporting requirements with respect to a transfer of property to a foreign corporation or partnership may not exceed $100,000 except in cases of intentional disregard for such reporting requirements.

Under the Senate amendment, regulatory authority is granted to provide rules treating a partnership as a domestic or foreign partnership, where such treatment is more appropriate, without regard to where the partnership is created or organized. It is expected that a recharacterization of a partnership under such regulations will be based only on material factors such as the residence of the partners and the extent to which the partnership is engaged in business in the United States or earns U.S. source income. It also is expected that such regulations will provide guidance regarding the determination of whether an entity that is a partnership for Federal income tax purposes is to be considered to be created or organized in the United States or under the law of the United States or any State.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the Senate amendment with modifications.

The conference agreement clarifies that, for purposes of the requirement of gain recognition upon a transfer of appreciated property by a U.S. person to a foreign estate or trust, a U.S. trust that becomes a foreign trust is treated as having transferred all of its assets to a foreign trust.

The conference agreement further clarifies that, in the case of a transfer by a U.S. person to a foreign corporation as paid-in surplus or as a contribution to capital in a transaction not otherwise described in section 367 (e.g., a capital contribution by a non-shareholder), regulatory authority is granted under section 367 to treat such transfer as a fair market value sale and to require gain recognition thereon.

For purposes of the information reporting rules applicable to a U.S. partner that controls a foreign partnership, the conference agreement clarifies that a partner's interest in a partnership is determined with application of constructive ownership rules similar to those provided in section 267(c) (other than paragraph (3)).

Finally, the conference agreement provides that regulations issued under the grant of regulatory authority to provide rules treating a partnership as a domestic or foreign partnership will apply only to partnerships created or organized after the date such regulations are filed with the Federal Register (or, if earlier, the date of a public notice substantially describing the expected contents of the regulations). Accordingly, regulations issued under this grant of regulatory authority will not be applied to reclassify pre-existing partnerships. In connection with this regulatory authority, the conferees wish to make clear that it is intended that the general rule for classifying a partnership as domestic or foreign will continue to be the place where the partnership is created or organized (or the laws under which it is created or organized), and that the regulations are expected to provide a different classification result only in unusual cases. The conferees also expect that any regulations will avoid period-by-period reclassifications of partnerships.

E. Modification of Reporting Threshold for Stock Ownership of a Foreign Corporation (sec. 1146 of the House bill and sec. 936 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Several provisions of the Code require U.S. persons to report information with respect to a foreign corporation in which they are shareholders or officers or directors. Sections 6038 and 6035 generally require every U.S. citizen or resident who is an officer, or director, or who owns at least 10 percent of the stock, of a foreign corporation that is a controlled foreign corporation or a foreign personal holding company to file Form 5471 annually.

Section 6046 mandates the filing of information returns by certain U.S. persons with respect to a foreign corporation upon the occurrence of certain events. U.S. persons required to file these information returns are those who acquire 5 percent or more of the value of the stock of a foreign corporation, others who become U.S. persons while owning that percentage of the stock of a foreign corporation, and U.S. citizens and residents who are officers or directors of foreign corporations with such U.S. ownership.

A failure to file the required information return under section 6038 may result in monetary penalties or reduction of foreign tax credit benefits. A failure to file the required information returns under sections 6035 or 6046 may result in monetary penalties.

House Bill

The House bill increases the threshold for stock ownership of a foreign corporation that results in information reporting obligations under section 6046 from 5 percent (based on value) to 10 percent (based on vote or value).

Effective date.—The provision is effective for reportable transactions occurring after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

F. Other Foreign Simplification Provisions

1. Transition rule for certain trusts (sec. 1161 of the House bill and sec. 951 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under rules enacted with the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996, a trust is considered to be a U.S. trust if two criteria are met. First, a court within the United States must be able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust. Second, U.S. fiduciaries of the trust must have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust. A trust that does not satisfy both of these criteria is considered to be a foreign trust. These rules for defining a U.S. trust generally are effective for taxable years of a trust that begin after December 31, 1996. A trust that qualified as a U.S. trust under prior law could fail to qualify as a U.S. trust under these new criteria.

House Bill

Under the House bill, the Secretary of the Treasury is granted authority to allow nongrantor trusts that had been treated as U.S. trusts under prior law to elect to continue to be treated as U.S. trusts, notwithstanding the new criteria for qualification as a U.S. trust.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1996.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

2. Simplify stock and securities trading safe harbor (sec. 1162 of the House bill and sec. 952 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

A nonresident alien individual or foreign corporation that is engaged in a trade or business within the United States is subject to U.S. taxation on its net income that is effectively connected with the trade or business, at graduated rates of tax. Under a "safe harbor" rule, foreign persons that trade in stocks or securities for their own accounts are not treated as engaged in a U.S. trade or business for this purpose.

For a foreign corporation to qualify for the safe harbor, it must not be a dealer in stock or securities. In addition, if the principal business of the foreign corporation is trading in stock or securities for its own account, the safe harbor generally does not apply if the principal office of the corporation is in the United States.

For foreign persons who invest in securities trading partnerships, the safe harbor applies only if the partnership is not a dealer in stock and securities. In addition, if the principal business of the partnership is trading stock or securities for its own account, the safe harbor generally does not apply if the principal office of the partnership is in the United States.

Under Treasury regulations that apply to both corporations and partnerships, the determination of the location of the entity's principal office turns on the location of various functions relating to operation of the entity, including communication with investors and the general public, solicitation and acceptance of sales of interests, and maintenance and audits of its books of account (Treas. reg. sec. 1.864–2(c)(2) (ii) and (iii)). Under the regulations, the location of the entity's principal office does not depend on the location of the entity's management or where investment decisions are made.

House Bill

The House bill modifies the stock and securities trading safe harbor by eliminating the requirement for both partnerships and foreign corporations that trade stock or securities for their own accounts that the entity's principal office not be within the United States.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

3. Clarification of determination of foreign taxes deemed paid (sec. 1178(a) of the House bill and sec. 953(a) of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under section 902, a domestic corporation that receives a dividend from a foreign corporation in which it owns 10 percent or more of the voting stock is deemed to have paid a portion of the foreign taxes paid by such foreign corporation. The domestic corporation that receives a dividend is deemed to have paid a portion of the foreign corporation's post-1986 foreign income taxes based on the ratio of the amount of such dividend to the foreign corporation's post-1986 undistributed earnings. The foreign corporation's post-

1986 foreign income taxes is the sum of the foreign income taxes with respect to the taxable year in which the dividend is distributed plus certain foreign income taxes with respect to prior taxable years (beginning after December 31, 1986).

House Bill

The House bill clarifies that, for purposes of the deemed paid credit under section 902 for a taxable year, a foreign corporation's post-1986 foreign income taxes includes foreign income taxes with respect to prior taxable years (beginning after December 31, 1986) only to the extent such taxes are not attributable to dividends distributed by the foreign corporation in prior taxable years. No inference is intended regarding the determination of foreign taxes deemed paid under present law.

Effective date.—The provision is effective on date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

4. Clarification of foreign tax credit limitation for financial services income (sec. 1178(b) of the House bill and sec. 953(b) of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under section 904, separate foreign tax credit limitations apply to various categories of income. Two of these separate limitation categories are passive income and financial services income. For purposes of the separate foreign tax credit limitation applicable to passive income, certain income that is treated as high-taxed income is excluded from the definition of passive income. For purposes of the separate foreign tax credit limitation applicable to financial services income, the definition of financial services income generally incorporates passive income as defined for purposes of the separate limitation applicable to passive income.

House Bill

The House bill clarifies that the exclusion of income that is treated as high-taxed income does not apply for purposes of the separate foreign tax credit limitation applicable to financial services income. No inference is intended regarding the treatment of high-taxed income for purposes of the separate foreign tax credit limitation applicable to financial services income under present law.

Effective date.—The provision is effective on date of enactment.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill and the Senate amendment.

G. Other Foreign Provisions

1. Eligibility of licenses of computer software for foreign sales corporation benefits (sec. 1101 of the House bill and sec. 741 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under special tax provisions that provide an export benefit, a portion of the foreign trade income of an eligible foreign sales corporation ("FSC") is exempt from Federal income tax. Foreign trade income is defined as the gross income of a FSC that is attributable to foreign trading gross receipts. The term "foreign trading gross receipts" includes the gross receipts of a FSC from the sale, lease, or rental of export property and from services related and subsidiary to such sales, leases, or rentals.

For purposes of the FSC rules, export property is defined as property (1) which is manufactured, produced, grown, or extracted in the United States by a person other than a FSC; (2) which is held primarily for sale, lease, or rental in the ordinary conduct of a trade or business by or to a FSC for direct use, consumption, or disposition outside the United States; and (3) not more than 50 percent of the fair market value of which is attributable to articles imported into the United States. Intangible property generally is excluded from the definition of export property for purposes of the FSC rules; this exclusion applies to copyrights other than films, tapes, records, or similar reproductions for commercial or home use. The temporary Treasury regulations provide that a license of a master recording tape for reproduction outside the United States is not excluded from the definition of export property (Treas. Reg. sec. 1.927(a)–1T(f)(3)). The statutory exclusion for intangible property does not contain any specific reference to computer software. However, the temporary Treasury regulations provide that a copyright on computer software does not constitute export property, and that standardized, mass marketed computer software constitutes export property if such software is not accompanied by a right to reproduce for external use (Treas. Reg. sec. 1.927(a)–1T(f)(3)).

House Bill

The House bill provides that computer software licensed for reproduction abroad is not excluded from the definition of export property for purposes of the FSC provisions. Accordingly, computer software that is exported with a right to reproduce is eligible for the benefits of the FSC provisions. In light of the rapid innovations in the computer and software industries, the Committee intends that the term "computer software" be construed broadly to accommodate technological changes in the products produced by both industries. No inference is intended regarding the qualification as export property of computer software licensed for reproduction abroad under present law.

Effective date.—The provision generally applies to gross receipts from computer software licenses attributable to periods after December 31, 1997. Accordingly, in the case of a multi-year license, the provision applies to gross receipts attributable to the period of such license that is after December 31, 1997. In the case of gross receipts attributable to 1998, the provision applies to only one-third of such gross receipts. In the case of gross receipts attributable to 1999, the provision applies to only two-thirds of such gross receipts.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment is the same as the House bill, with a modification to the effective date.

Effective date.—The provision applies to gross receipts from computer software licenses attributable to periods after December 31, 1997. Accordingly, in the case of a multi-year license, the provision applies to gross receipts attributable to the period of such license that is after December 31, 1997.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the Senate amendment.

2. Increase dollar limitation on section 911 exclusion (sec. 1102 of the House bill)

Present Law

U.S. citizens generally are subject to U.S. income tax on all their income, whether derived in the United States or elsewhere. A U.S. citizen who earns income in a foreign country also may be taxed on such income by that foreign country. A credit against the U.S. income tax imposed on foreign source income is allowed for foreign taxes paid on such income.

U.S. citizens living abroad may be eligible to exclude from their income for U.S. tax purposes certain foreign earned income and foreign housing costs. In order to qualify for these exclusions, a U.S. citizen must be either (1) a bona fide resident of a foreign country for an uninterrupted period that includes an entire taxable year or (2) present overseas for 330 days out of any 12 consecutive month period. In addition, the taxpayer must have his or her tax home in a foreign country.

The exclusion for foreign earned income generally applies to income earned from sources outside the United States as compensation for personal services actually rendered by the taxpayer. The maximum exclusion for foreign earned income for a taxable year is $70,000.

The exclusion for housing costs applies to reasonable expenses, other than deductible interest and taxes, paid or incurred by or on behalf of the taxpayer for housing for the taxpayer and his or her spouse and dependents in a foreign country. The exclusion amount for housing costs for a taxable year is equal to the excess of such housing costs for the taxable year over an amount computed pursuant to a specified formula.

The combined earned income exclusion and housing cost exclusion may not exceed the taxpayer's total foreign earned income.

The taxpayer's foreign tax credit is reduced by the amount of the credit that is attributable to excluded income.

House Bill

Under the House bill, the $70,000 limitation on the exclusion for foreign earned income is increased to $80,000, in increments of $2,000 each year beginning in 1998. The $80,000 limitation on the exclusion for foreign earned income is indexed for inflation beginning in 2008 (for inflation after 2006).

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Senate Amendment

No provision.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement follows the House bill.

3. Treatment of certain securities positions under the subpart F investment in U.S. property rules (sec. 743 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under the rules of subpart F (secs. 951–964), the U.S. 10-percent shareholders of a controlled foreign corporation (CFC) are required to include in income currently for U.S. tax purposes certain earnings of the CFC, whether or not such earnings are distributed currently to the shareholders. The U.S. 10-percent shareholders of a CFC are subject to current U.S. tax on their shares of certain income earned by the CFC (referred to as "subpart F income'). The U.S. 10-percent shareholders also are subject to current U.S. tax on their shares of the CFC's earnings to the extent invested by the CFC in certain U.S. property.

A shareholder's current income inclusion with respect to a CFC's investment in U.S. property for a taxable year is based on the CFC's average investment in U.S. property for such year. For this purpose, the U.S. property held by the CFC must be measured as of the close of each quarter in the taxable year. U.S. property generally is defined to include tangible property located in the United States, stock of a U.S. corporation, obligations of a U.S. person, and the right to use certain intellectual property in the United States. Exceptions are provided for, among other things, obligations of the United States, U.S. bank deposits, certain trade or business obligations, and stock or debts of certain unrelated U.S. corporations.

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment provides two additional exceptions from the definition of U.S. property for purposes of the subpart F rules. Both exceptions relate to transactions entered into by a securities or commodities dealer in the ordinary course of its business as a securities or commodities dealer.

The first exception covers the deposit of collateral or margin by a securities or commodities dealer, or the receipt of such a deposit by a securities or commodities dealer, if such deposit is made or received on commercial terms in the ordinary course of the dealer's business as a securities or commodities dealer. This exception applies to deposits of margin or collateral for securities loans, notional principal contracts, options contracts, forward contracts, futures contracts, and any other financial transaction with respect to which the Secretary of the Treasury determines that the posting of collateral or margin is customary.

The second exception covers repurchase agreement transactions and reverse repurchase agreement transactions entered into by or with a securities or commodities dealer in the ordinary course of its business as a securities or commodities dealer. The exception applies only to the extent that the obligation under the transaction does not exceed the fair market value of readily marketable securities transferred or otherwise posted as collateral.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 1997, and taxable years of U.S. shareholders with or within which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the Senate amendment. Under the conference agreement, for purposes of these two additional exceptions under section 956, the term "dealer in commodities" means futures commission merchants and dealers in commodities within the meaning of the new definition that is added to section 475 by the conference agreement. In addition, the conferees wish to clarify that the addition of these two exceptions under section 956 is not intended to create any inference regarding the treatment of an obligation of a U.S. person to return stock that is borrowed pursuant to a securities loan.

4. Exception from foreign personal holding company income under subpart F for active financing income (sec. 744 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Under the subpart F rules, certain U.S. shareholders of a controlled foreign corporation ("CFC") are subject to U.S. tax currently on certain income earned by the CFC, whether or not such income is distributed to the shareholders. The income subject to current inclusion under the subpart F rules includes, among other things, "foreign personal holding company income" and insurance income. The U.S. 10-percent shareholders of a CFC also are subject to current inclusion with respect to their shares of the CFC's foreign base company services income (i.e., income derived from services performed for a related person outside the country in which the CFC is organized).

Foreign personal holding company income generally consists of the following: dividends, interest, royalties, rents and annuities; net gains from sales or exchanges of (1) property that gives rise to the preceding types of income, (2) property that does not give rise to income, and (3) interests in trusts, partnerships, and REMICs; net gains from commodities transactions; net gains from foreign currency transactions; and income that is equivalent to interest.

Insurance income subject to current inclusion under the subpart F rules includes any income of a CFC attributable to the issuing or reinsuring of any insurance or annuity contract in connection with risks located in a country other than the CFC's country of organization and related person insurance income. Subpart F insurance income also includes income attributable to an insurance contract in connection with risks located within the CFC's country of organization, as the result of an arrangement under which another corporation receives a substantially equal amount of consideration for insurance of other-country risks. Investment income of a CFC that is allocable to any insurance or annuity contract related to risks located outside the CFC's country of organization is taxable as subpart F insurance income (Prop. Treas. reg. sec. 1.953–1(a)). Investment income allocable to risks located within the CFC's country of organization generally is taxable as foreign personal holding company income.

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment provides a temporary exception from foreign personal holding company income for subpart F purposes for certain income that is derived in the active conduct of an insurance, banking, financing or similar business. Such exception is applicable only for taxable years beginning in 1998.

Under the Senate amendment, foreign personal holding company income does not include income that is derived in or incident to the active conduct of a banking, financing or similar business by a CFC that is predominantly engaged in the active conduct of such business. For this purpose, income derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business generally is determined under the principles applicable in determining financial services income for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. Moreover, the Secretary of the Treasury shall prescribe regulations applying look-through treatment in characterizing for this purpose dividends, interest, income equivalent to interest, rents, and royalties from related persons. A CFC is considered to be predominantly engaged in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business if (1) more than 70 percent of its gross income is derived from transactions with unrelated persons and more than 20 percent of its gross income from that business is derived from transactions with unrelated persons located within the country in which the CFC is organized or incorporated, or (2) the CFC is predominantly engaged in the active conduct of a banking or securities business, or is a qualified bank or securities affiliate, as defined for purposes of the passive foreign investment company provisions.

Under the Senate amendment, foreign personal holding company income also does not include certain investment income of a qualifying insurance company with respect to risks located within the CFC's country of organization. These exceptions apply to income derived from investments of assets equal to the total of (1) unearned premiums and reserves ordinary and necessary for the proper conduct of the CFC's insurance business, (2) one-third of premiums earned during the taxable year on insurance contracts regulated in the country in which sold as property, casualty, or health insurance contracts, and (3) the greater of $10 million or 10 percent of reserves for insurance contracts regulated in the country in which sold as life insurance or annuity contracts. For this purpose, a qualifying insurance company is an entity that is subject to regulation as an insurance company under the laws of its country of incorporation and that realizes at least 50 percent of its gross income (other than income from investments) from premiums related to risks located within such country. These exceptions for insurance investment income do not apply to investment income which is received by the CFC from a related person. Similarly, the exceptions do not apply to investment income that is attributable directly or indirectly to the insurance or reinsurance of risks of related persons. The Senate amendment does not change the rule of present law that investment income of a CFC that is attributable to the issuing or reinsuring of any insurance or annuity contract related to risks outside of its country of organization is taxable as Subpart F insurance income.

The Senate amendment also provides an exception from foreign base company services income for income derived from services performed in connection with the active conduct of a banking, financing, insurance or similar business by a CFC that is predominantly engaged in the active conduct of such business.

Effective date.—The provision applies only to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning in 1998, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the Senate amendment with modifications.

Under the conference agreement, the temporary exception from foreign personal holding company income applies to income that is derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing or similar business by a CFC that is predominantly engaged in the active conduct of such business. For this purpose, income derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business generally is determined under the principles applicable in determining financial services income for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. However, in the case of a corporation that is engaged in the active conduct of a banking or securities business, the income that is eligible for this exception is determined under the principles applicable in determining the income which is treated as nonpassive income for purposes of the passive foreign investment company provisions.

The conferees generally intend that the income of a corporation engaged in the active conduct of a banking or securities business that is eligible for this exception is the income that is treated as nonpassive under the regulations proposed under section 1296(b). See Prop. Treas. Reg. secs. 1.1296–4 and 1.1296–6. In this regard, the conferees intend that eligible income will include income or gains with respect to foreclosed property which is incident to the active conduct of a banking business.

For purposes of the temporary exception, a corporation is considered to be predominantly engaged in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business if it is engaged in the active conduct of a banking or securities business or is a qualified bank affiliate or qualified securities affiliate. In this regard, the conferees intend that a corporation will be considered to be engaged in the active conduct of a banking or securities business if the corporation would be treated as so engaged under the regulations proposed under section 1296(b); the conferees further intend that qualified bank affiliates and qualified securities affiliates will be as determined under such proposed regulations. See Prop. Treas. Reg. secs. 1.1296–4 and 1.1296–6.

Alternatively, a corporation is considered to be engaged in the active conduct of a banking, financing or similar business if more than 70 percent of its gross income is derived from such business from transactions with unrelated persons located within the country under the laws of which the corporation is created or organized. For this purpose, income derived by a qualified business unit of a corporation from transactions with unrelated persons located in the country in which the qualified business unit maintains its principal office and conducts substantial business activity is treated as derived by the corporation from transactions with unrelated persons located within the country in which the corporation is created or organized. A person other than a natural person is considered to be located within the country in which it maintains an office through which it engages in a trade or business and by which the transaction is effected. A natural person is treated as located within the country in which such person is physically located when such person enters into the transaction.

The conference agreement provides a temporary exception from foreign personal holding company income for certain investment income of a qualifying insurance company with respect to risks located within the CFC's country of creation or organization. The rules of this provision of the conference agreement differ from the rules of present-law section 953 of the Code, which determines the subpart F inclusions of a U.S. shareholder relating to insurance income of a CFC. Such insurance income under section 953 generally is computed in accordance with the rules of subchapter L of the Code. The conferees believe that review of the rules of this provision would be appropriate when final guidance under section 953 is published by the Treasury Department.

The conference agreement provides a temporary exception for income (received from a person other than a related person) from investments made by a qualifying insurance company of its reserves or 80 percent of its unearned premiums (as defined for purposes of the provision). For this purpose, in the case of contracts regulated in the country in which sold as property, casualty, or health insurance contracts, unearned premiums and reserves mean unearned premiums and reserves for losses incurred determined using the methods and interest rates that would be used if the qualifying insurance company were subject to tax under subchapter L of the Code. Thus, for this purpose, unearned premiums are determined in accordance with section 832(b)(4), and reserves for losses incurred are determined in accordance with section 832(b)(5) and 846 of the Code (as well as any other rules applicable to a U.S. property and casualty insurance company with respect to such amounts).

In the case of a contract regulated in the country in which sold as a life insurance or annuity contract, the following three alternative rules for determining reserves are provided under the conference agreement. It is intended that any one of the three rules may be elected with respect to a particular line of business.

First, reserves for such contracts may be determined generally under the rules applicable to domestic life insurance companies under subchapter L of the Code, using the methods there specified, but substituting for the interest rates in Code section 807(d)(2)(B) an interest rate determined for the country in which the qualifying insurance company was created or organized, calculated in the same manner as the mid-term applicable Federal interest rate ("AFR") (within the meaning of section 1274(d)).

Second, the reserves for such contracts may be determined generally using a preliminary term foreign reserve method, except that the interest rate to be used is the interest rate determined for the country in which the qualifying insurance company was created or organized, calculated in the same manner as the mid-term AFR. If a qualifying insurance company uses such a preliminary term method with respect to contracts insuring risks located in the country in which the company is created or organized, then such method is the method that applies for purposes of this election.

Third, reserves for such contracts may be determined to be equal to the net surrender value of the contract (as defined in section 807(e)(1)(A)).

In no event may the reserve for any contract at any time exceed the foreign statement reserve for the contract, reduced by any catastrophe or deficiency reserve. This rule applies whether the contract is regulated as a property, casualty, health, life insurance, annuity, or any other type of contract.

The conference agreement also provides a temporary exception for income from investment of assets equal to (1) one-third of premiums earned during the taxable year on insurance contracts regulated in the country in which sold as property, casualty, or health insurance contracts, and (2) the greater of 10 percent of reserves, or, in the case of a qualifying insurance company that is a startup company, \$10 million. For this purpose, a startup company is a company (including any predecessor) that has not been engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business for more than 5 years. It is intended that the 5-year period commences when the foreign company first is engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business. If the foreign company was formed before being acquired by the U.S. shareholder, the 5-year period commences when the acquired company first was engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business. The conferees intend that in the event of the acquisition of a book of business from another company through an assumption or indemnity reinsurance transaction, the period commences when the acquiring company first engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business, except that if more than a substantial part (e.g., 80 percent) of the business of the ceding company is acquired, then the 5-year period commences when the ceding company first engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business. In addition, it is not intended that reinsurance transactions among related persons be used to multiply the number of 5-year periods.

To prevent the shifting of relatively high-yielding assets to generate investment income that qualifies under this temporary exception, the conference agreement provides that, under rules prescribed by the Secretary, income is allocated to contracts as follows. In the case of contracts that are separate-account-type contracts (including variable contracts not meeting the requirements of section 817), only the income specifically allocable to such contracts is taken into account. In the case of other contracts, income not specifically allocable is allocated ratably among such contracts.

The conference agreement modifies the definition of a qualifying insurance company. Under the conference agreement, a qualifying insurance company means any entity which: (1) is regulated as an insurance company under the laws of the country in which it is incorporated; (2) derives at least 50 percent of its net written premiums from the insurance or reinsurance of risks situated within its country of incorporation; and (3) is engaged in the active conduct of an insurance business and would be subject to tax under subchapter L if it were a domestic corporation.

The conference agreement clarifies that this provision does not apply to investment income (includable in the income of a U.S. shareholder of a CFC pursuant to section 953) allocable to contracts that insure related party risks or risks located in a country other than the country in which the qualifying insurance company is created or organized.

Finally, the conference agreement provides an anti-abuse rule applicable for purposes of these temporary exceptions from foreign personal holding company income. For purposes of applying these exceptions, items with respect to a transaction or series of transactions shall be disregarded if one of the principal purposes of the transaction or transactions is to qualify income or gain for these exceptions, including any change in the method of computing reserves or any other transaction or transactions one of the principal purposes of which is the acceleration or deferral of any item in order to claim the benefits of these exceptions.

The conferees recognize that insurance, banking, financing, and similar businesses are businesses the active conduct of which involves the generation of income, such as interest and dividends, of a type that generally is treated as passive for purposes of subpart F. For purposes of this temporary provision, the conferees intend to delineate the income derived in the active conduct of such businesses, while retaining the present-law anti-deferral rules of subpart F with respect to income not derived in the active conduct of these financial services businesses. However, the conferees recognize that the line between income derived in the active conduct of such businesses and income otherwise derived by entities so engaged can be difficult to draw. The conferees believe that the issues of the determination of income derived in the active conduct of such businesses and the potential mobility of the business activity and income recognition of insurance, banking, financing, and similar businesses require further study. In the event that it becomes necessary to consider a possible extension of the provision in the future, the conferees would invite the comments of taxpayers and the Treasury Department regarding these issues.

5. Treat service income of nonresident alien individuals earned on foreign ships as foreign source income and disregard the U.S. presence of such individuals (sec. 745 of the Senate amendment)

Present Law

Nonresident alien individuals generally are subject to U.S. taxation and withholding on their U.S. source income. Compensation for labor and personal services performed within the United States is considered U.S. source unless such income qualifies for a de minimis exception. To qualify for the exception, the compensation paid to a nonresident alien individual must not exceed $3,000, the compensation must reflect services performed on behalf of a foreign employer, and the individual must be present in the United Sates for not more than 90 days during the taxable year. Special rules apply to exclude certain items from the gross income of a nonresident alien. An exclusion applies to gross income derived by a nonresident alien individual from the international operation of a ship if the country in which such individual is resident provides a reciprocal exemption for U.S. residents. However, this exclusion does not apply to income from personal services performed by an individual crew member on board a ship. Consequently, wages exceeding $3,000 in a taxable year that are earned by nonresident alien individual crew members of a foreign ship while the vessel is within U.S. territory are subject to income taxation by the United States.

U.S. residents are subject to U.S. tax on their worldwide income. In general, a non-U.S. citizen is considered to be a resident of the United States if the individual (1) has entered the United States as a lawful permanent U.S. resident or (2) is present in the United States for 31 or more days during the current calendar year and has been present in the United States for a substantial period of time—183 or more days—during a three-year period computed by weighting toward the present year (the "substantial presence test"). An individual generally is treated as present in the United States on any day if such individual is physically present in the United States at any time during the day. Certain categories of individuals (e.g., foreign government employees and certain students) are not treated as U.S. residents even if they are present in the United States for the requisite period of time. Crew members of a foreign vessel who are on board the vessel while it is stationed within U.S. territorial waters are treated as present in the United States.

House Bill

No provision.

Senate Amendment

The Senate amendment treats gross income of a nonresident alien individual, who is present in the United States as a member of the regular crew of a foreign vessel, from the performance of personal services in connection with the international operation of a ship as income from foreign sources. Thus, such income is exempt from U.S. income and withholding tax. However, such persons are not excluded for purposes of applying the minimum participation standards of section 410 to a plan of the employer. In addition, for purposes of determining whether an individual is a U.S. resident under the substantial presence test, the Senate amendment provides that the days that such individual is present as a member of the regular crew of a foreign vessel are disregarded.

Effective date.—The provision is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1997.

Conference Agreement

The conference agreement generally follows the Senate amendment with modifications. The conference agreement provides that the treatment of income of a nonresident alien crew member of a foreign vessel as foreign source income will not apply for purposes of the pension rules and certain employee benefit provisions. The conference agreement further provides that, for purposes of determining whether an individual is a U.S. resident under the substantial presence test, any day that such individual is present as a member of the regular crew of a foreign vessel is disregarded only if the individual does not otherwise engage in trade or business within the United States on such day.